

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

cù

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/939,063	08/24/2001	Sean Conor Wrycraft	5681-03400 4245		
75	90 · 12/30/2003	EXAMINER			
B. Noel Kivlin			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA		
Conley, Rose, & P.O. Box 398	t Tayon, P.C.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Austin, TX 78	3767		2839		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application N	lo.	licant(s)				
			09/939,063	9/939.063 WRYCRAFT, SI		AN CONOR			
	Offic	Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit				
			Chandrika Pr	asad	2839				
	- The MAIL	ING DATE of this communicatio	on appears on the	v r sheet with th	orr spond nc a	ddress			
Period fo				WOIDE AMONTH	(C) EDOM				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui	MAILING E usions of time r SIX (6) MONT period for repl period for repl re to reply with eply received to	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI may be available under the provisions of 37 Or HS from the mailing date of this communicati y specified above is less than thirty (30) days y is specified above, the maximum statutory in the set or extended period for reply will, by by the Office later than three months after the adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hon. s, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will expect the applications.	nowever, may a reply be tir minimum of thirty (30) day bire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
1)⊠	Respons	sive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>30 December 200</u>	<u>2</u> .					
2a)□		***************************************	This action is no			!			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
-			cation						
•	✓ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
, —									
,	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
		are subject to restriction	and/or election requ	uirement.					
	ion Paper								
		fication is objected to by the Exa							
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 August 2001</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) \boxtimes The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>30 December 2002</u> is: a) \boxtimes approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
		U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
		edgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).				
a)		☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				•			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
* :		pies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation tached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Ri	ле 17.2(a)).		ai Stage			
14) 🗍 .	Acknowled	igment is made of a claim for do	omestic priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provision	al application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachme									
1) Noti	ce of Refere	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5	Interview Summa Notice of Informa Other:	ary (PTO-413) Paper I	No(s) PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/939,063 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The reply filed on 12/30/02 consists of amendments to Claims 1-3, 5-11; cancellation of claims 11-21; changes in the drawings and remarks related to rejection of claims. The claims are not allowable as explained below.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the channels must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claims 1-7. Furthermore, the engaging projection mounted on the backplane as recited in Claim 3 must be shown or the feature cancelled from claims 3-4. No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 3. The following is a quotation of an appropriate paragraph of 37 CFR 1.75:
 - (d) The claim or claims must conform to the invention as set forth in the remainder of the specification and the terms and phrases used in the claims must find clear support or antecedent basis in the description so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be ascertainable by reference to the description. (See 1.58(a)).
- 4. Specification is objected under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(d) because the engaging projection slidably mounted on the backplane has not been described.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to

Application/Control Number: 09/939,063

Art Unit: 2839

which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The engaging projection slidably mounted on the backplane has been neither described in the specification nor shown in the drawings. (The projection is mounted on the chassis not on the backplane).

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 9. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: said back plane in Claim 1, line 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/939,063

Art Unit: 2839

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

11. Claims 1-2 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tollbom.

Tollbom (Figures 1-4E) shows an electrical assembly 10 having an ejector mechanism 46, 48 for ejecting multi-pin connectors 36 (or a first part of a connector) on a circuit board 14 from a multi-channel socket connector (or a second part of the connector) 22 on a backplane connected to a chassis 12. The ejector mechanism has a lever arm 62 pivotally mounted to the circuit board, which engages a projection 122 on the chassis. The lever is biased by a spring 114, which provides a flexible coupling. Furthermore, the projection 122 is resiliently deformable to provide flexible coupling. When the lever is moved from one position to other position, it applies an engaging force. Mounting of the pivotly mounted lever on the circuit board provides translatory as well as pivotal movement between the lever and the engaging projection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 3-4 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tollbom.

Tollbom shows all the features of these claims as described in Paragraph 10 above except the engaging projection slidable mounted on the chassis. Official notice is taken that

Application/Control Number: 09/939,063 Page 5

Art Unit: 2839

such a feature is well known in the art of electrical connectors as well as mechanical connectors. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide such a feature to the Tollbom connector assembly because this would provide a wider range of movement.

Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Tollbom shows the lever mounted on a circuit board.

Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sevier also shows an ejector mechanism having a lever arm pivotly mounted on a circuit board.

Contact Information

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad at (703) 308-0977. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reached at (703) 308-2710. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9318 (general) and (703) 872-9319 for after-final. Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-1782.

Chandrika Prasad Patent Examiner April 24, 2003